AI-Driven Spatiotemporal Modeling of Environmental Radiation Exposure and Respiratory Health Risk in Vulnerable Populations

Mark A. Rao
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA.
markrao418@ku.edu

Guangqin Qian
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Nevada, Reno, Reno, NV, USA.
guang1982@unr.edu

Abstract

Environmental radiation originating from both natural and anthropogenic sources constitutes a persistent and inequitably distributed health threat. Vulnerable populations, including children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing respiratory conditions, often experience disproportionately high exposure due to residential proximity to contamination, substandard housing, or limited access to protective infrastructures. The complex interplay between spatiotemporal radiation dispersion, meteorological factors, and population mobility requires computational frameworks that surpass conventional exposure assessment methods. This paper presents an interdisciplinary system-level analysis of artificial intelligence-driven spatiotemporal modeling for environmental radiation exposure and respiratory health risk, with a particular emphasis on structural architecture, data integration, and deployment governance. We examine how deep learning architectures, including convolutional long short-term memory networks, graph neural networks, and spatiotemporal transformers, can be orchestrated within a hybrid cloud-edge infrastructure to produce high-resolution exposure maps and health risk projections. The discussion extends to the challenges posed by multisource data heterogeneity, privacy constraints associated with health records, and the necessity of fairness-aware modeling to prevent algorithmic magnification of existing disparities. We articulate trade-offs between centralized and federated learning paradigms, real-time inference capabilities, and model interpretability in public health contexts. Robustness against environmental data drift, missing sensor readings, and adversarial reporting conditions is analyzed alongside sustainability considerations encompassing institutional capacity, funding models, and open-source ecosystems. Policy implications are evaluated through the lens of environmental justice frameworks, highlighting how AI-driven exposure surveillance can inform regulatory interventions, early warning systems, and urban planning efforts tailored to the protection of at-risk communities. By synthesizing architectural, computational, and ethical dimensions, the paper offers a comprehensive roadmap for the responsible design and deployment of spatiotemporal AI systems in environmental public health.

Keywords

spatiotemporal modeling, artificial intelligence, environmental radiation, respiratory health, vulnerable populations, health equity, system architecture, federated learning, fairness, governance.

1. Introduction

Environmental radiation exposure remains a significant yet underappreciated determinant of population health, contributing to a spectrum of respiratory diseases that disproportionately burden socioeconomically marginalized communities. Ionizing radiation originating from terrestrial radionuclides, cosmic sources, and anthropogenic activities such as mining, nuclear processing, and industrial operations interacts with atmospheric particulates to create complex exposure patterns that vary across space and time. While large-scale epidemiological studies have historically relied on stationary monitoring networks and coarse spatial interpolations, such approaches fail to capture the fine-grained dynamics that govern individual-level inhalation doses in urban and peri-urban settings. The emergence of artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning methods capable of extracting nonlinear spatiotemporal dependencies from massive sensor streams, satellite imagery, and demographic data, offers a transformative opportunity to revolutionize environmental exposure assessment and respiratory health risk prediction. Nevertheless, the design of such systems extends far beyond algorithmic innovation, requiring careful consideration of data governance, computational infrastructure, model fairness, and integration with public health decision-making pipelines. This paper addresses the multifaceted challenges inherent in constructing AI-driven spatiotemporal modeling frameworks for environmental radiation and respiratory health, foregrounding system-level trade-offs that have received insufficient attention in narrower methodological studies. We situate the analysis at the intersection of large-scale systems engineering, environmental epidemiology, and health equity, arguing that technical architectures deployed without concurrent attention to governance and fairness may inadvertently deepen the very disparities they aim to mitigate. The subsequent sections unpack the background context and related work, the architectural components necessary for data fusion and modeling, the spatiotemporal AI methodologies tailored for exposure and health outcomes, deployment and sustainability considerations, and finally, the robustness, fairness, and policy dimensions that must guide responsible implementation.

2. Background and Related Work

The relationship between particulate matter, environmental radioactivity, and respiratory health has been extensively documented through epidemiological cohort studies and time-series analyses. It is now well established that fine particles carrying attached radionuclides can penetrate deep into the alveolar regions of the lung, where alpha, beta, and gamma emissions induce localized cellular damage and inflammatory cascades. Epidemiological investigations have repeatedly linked ambient particle radioactivity to increased hospital admissions for asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations, and reduced lung function in both pediatric and adult populations [1]. Mechanistic studies further indicate that the synergistic effects of chemical toxicity and radiological dose potentiate oxidative stress, yielding greater respiratory morbidity than either exposure pathway alone. From a spatial perspective, radiation levels exhibit pronounced heterogeneity due to geological substrate, building materials, ventilation conditions, and proximity to nuclear facilities or legacy waste sites. Temporally, diurnal, seasonal, and weather-driven fluctuations in radionuclide concentrations complicate static exposure classifications, underscoring the need for dynamic modeling frameworks.

Parallel progress in spatiotemporal machine learning has yielded architectures originally developed for video prediction, traffic forecasting, and climate downscaling that can be repurposed for environmental health applications. Convolutional long short-term memory networks introduced for precipitation nowcasting demonstrated the capacity to simultaneously capture spatial autocorrelation and temporal memory in gridded data [2]. Building on this lineage, graph neural networks extended such capabilities to irregular spatial topologies, enabling the modeling of sensor networks, urban canyons, and demographic adjacency structures [3]. Temporal graph convolutional networks have proven effective in fusing multisource urban sensor data for air quality inference, showing that the fusion of meteorological, traffic, and land-use features through graph-structured learning can significantly outperform traditional geostatistical models [4]. More recently, spatiotemporal transformers have been adapted from sequence modeling to capture long-range dependencies across both space and time without the constraint of local receptive fields, offering potential advantages in modeling transboundary radiation transport driven by largescale atmospheric circulation [5]. Several recent works have specifically targeted environmental radiation prediction using hybrid architectures combining recurrent neural networks with attention mechanisms, achieving noteworthy gains in hourly forecasting accuracy for gamma radiation dose rates at regional scales [6]. However, the translation of these methods to serve vulnerable populations demands careful consideration of data equity, since sensor deployment is often sparsest in the areas where marginalized communities reside.

The concept of vulnerable populations in the context of environmental hazards has been thoroughly theorized within environmental justice and social vulnerability frameworks. Disparities arise not merely from differential exposure but from differential susceptibility and adaptive capacity, influenced by factors such as age, pre-existing disease, housing quality, and access to healthcare. For instance, communities adjacent to former uranium mining districts often experience compounded risks from residual radiation, indoor radon accumulation, and limited medical infrastructure [7]. Evidence from the Framingham Heart Study demonstrated that long-term residential exposure to particle radioactivity was associated with elevated all-cause mortality, with suggestive effect modification by socioeconomic status [8]. Such findings underscore the imperative for AI-driven systems to not only predict aggregate exposure but to quantify subgroup-specific risks in a manner that supports targeted interventions. The foundations for integrating environmental radiation data with health outcomes at fine resolution are partially laid by studies employing satellite-derived aerosol optical depth and land-use regression, though these have rarely extended to radiological parameters [9]. Thus, a gap persists in the development of integrated systems that leverage modern AI architectures, heterogeneous data streams, and health equity principles to produce actionable risk intelligence for those most at need.

3. System Architecture for Spatiotemporal Data Fusion and Modeling

Designing a large-scale AI system for environmental radiation exposure and respiratory health risk necessitates a layered architecture that balances computational efficiency, data security, and responsiveness to evolving conditions. At the highest level, such a system must accommodate four primary functional layers: data ingestion and curation, spatiotemporal model training and inference, exposure-health linkage and risk projection, and dissemination interfaces that connect to public health authorities and community alert platforms. The architectural decisions surrounding the distribution of these layers across cloud, edge, and on-premise nodes carry profound implications for latency, scalability, privacy, and resilience. A fully centralized cloud-native design, for example, simplifies model versioning and global aggregation but introduces vulnerabilities related to network dependency, single points of failure, and concerns about transmitting personally identifiable health data outside jurisdictional boundaries. In contrast, a highly decentralized paradigm can deploy lightweight inference models on edge devices colocated with radiation monitoring stations, performing local anomaly detection and exposure flagging while transmitting only aggregated, privacy-preserving gradients or encrypted summary statistics for federated model updates. The selection of topological configuration must therefore be guided by a careful analysis of the geospatial granularity required, the sensitivity of the health data involved, and the institutional capacity of local public health agencies that will ultimately rely on the system's outputs.

Within the data ingestion layer, the system must reconcile streaming data from heterogeneous sources including ground-level gamma spectroscopy stations, unmanned aerial vehicles conducting radiological surveys, meteorological sensor networks, satellite-based radiation anomaly detection, and administrative health records containing respiratory disease incidence. A robust message-queuing and stream-processing backbone is essential to handle variable ingestion rates, sensor failures, and intermittent connectivity in remote or disaster-affected regions. Decisions regarding data storage formats and indexing strategies must anticipate the spatiotemporal query patterns that will dominate model training and inference workflows, such as range queries over geohashes and sliding window aggregations along time dimensions. The architecture must also embed data quality assurance modules that apply real-time validation checks, detect calibration drift in radiation detectors, and harmonize measurements collected under different protocols or units. These components represent infrastructure challenges that are often overlooked in experimental deployments but become critical when transitioning to sustained operational use in environmental public health.

The model serving layer introduces further architectural trade-offs between batch processing for retrospective risk mapping and real-time streaming inference for early warning systems. In flood-prone regions where radionuclide remobilization can occur suddenly, low-latency exposure alerts are vital to trigger protective actions for vulnerable populations, such as temporary relocation of persons with severe respiratory conditions or distribution of air filtration units. Achieving sub-second inference times for complex spatiotemporal models demands optimized model compilation, efficient tensor operations on GPU or FPGA accelerators, and possibly hierarchical model cascades where a lightweight linear predictor screens non-critical time steps and a heavy deep network is invoked only when anomaly likelihood exceeds a threshold. Such cascading approaches significantly reduce average computational cost and energy consumption, aligning with sustainability goals. However, the accuracy-equity trade-off must be scrutinized, as cascading thresholds might disproportionately affect sparsely monitored areas where background variability is poorly characterized, potentially delaying alerts for marginalized neighborhoods. A holistic architecture thus intertwines technical performance with fairness audits embedded at the operations level.

A further structural consideration concerns the integration of exposure models with health risk estimation modules. While exposure modeling primarily demands continuous spatiotemporal fields of radiation intensity and particulate concentration, health risk modeling requires linking these fields to population distribution, baseline respiratory disease prevalence, and individual-level susceptibility factors. The architecture must enforce strict data isolation between personally identifiable health records and environmental layers, often through secure enclaves, differential privacy mechanisms, or synthetic data generation. Federated learning frameworks have been proposed to allow health authorities to collaborate on training risk models without sharing raw patient data [10]. In such configurations, the central coordinating server maintains a global spatiotemporal exposure model whose embeddings are shared with local nodes, where fine-tuned health risk predictors are trained on private electronic health records. The system then aggregates model weights rather than patient data, mitigating privacy risks while enabling cohorts from multiple jurisdictions to contribute to generalizable knowledge. The implementation complexity of this federated topology raises questions about the required maturity of digital health infrastructure in participating regions and the need for harmonized legal agreements across data controllers.

4. Data Integration and Heterogeneity Challenges

The operational value of an AI-driven spatiotemporal radiation-health system is contingent on overcoming severe data integration barriers arising from differences in spatial resolution, temporal cadence, measurement sensitivity, and semantic interoperability across sources. Environmental radiation monitoring networks are characterized by extreme spatial sparsity, with many countries operating fewer than one gamma monitoring station per ten thousand square kilometers, and those stations are often preferentially sited near urban centers or nuclear facilities, leading to systematic underrepresentation of rural and Indigenous territories. Satellite-based sensors, such as those on polar-orbiting platforms, provide broader coverage but at resolutions typically coarser than several kilometers and with revisit intervals on the order of days, limiting their utility for capturing short-lived exposure peaks following precipitation events that flush radon progeny from the atmosphere. In contrast, health outcome data such as emergency department visits for asthma exacerbations are collected at high temporal resolution but are subject to stringent privacy regulations that restrict spatial precision to aggregated administrative units, introducing ecological bias when spatially mismatch with exposure gradients.

Bridging these scale mismatches demands sophisticated data fusion algorithms that can propagate information across heterogeneous support sizes while preserving uncertainty quantification. Deep generative models, including conditional variational autoencoders and diffusion models, have emerged as powerful tools for statistical downscaling and imputation of environmental variables, but their application to radiation fields remains nascent [11]. When trained on colocated high-resolution and coarse-resolution data, such models can learn scale-transfer relationships that respect physical constraints, though they require careful regularization to avoid hallucinating fine-scale features in data-poor regions. The resulting exposure surfaces must then be probabilistically linked to health outcomes via spatial misalignment models that account for the uncertain assignment of individual exposure given aggregated residential location data. These methodological layers impose computational burdens that can strain the throughput of real-time systems, emphasizing the need for architectural choices that precompute and cache multiscale exposure ensembles rather than generating them on the fly for each query.

Interoperability challenges extend to the semantic alignment of metadata, units, calibration standards, and classification schemata. Radiation measurements may be reported as ambient dose equivalent rate, air kerma, or activity concentration, and conversion between units requires knowledge of the radionuclide composition that is rarely available in real time. Respiratory health outcomes are recorded using heterogeneous coding systems, including ICD-10, SNOMED, and local clinical terminology, making cross-jurisdictional harmonization a labor-intensive process. The absence of universally adopted data standards for environmental radiation-health linkage impedes the portability of AI models trained on one region's data to another, undermining the vision of a globally useful system. Addressing this requires investment in community-driven ontology development and the embrace of FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) data principles within radiation protection and public health agencies. The governance framework for such ontologies must be inclusive, engaging low-resource settings to ensure that the resulting models do not merely codify the monitoring biases of wealthier nations.

Data integration also raises questions about the acceptable latency for incorporating new data sources into the modeling pipeline. While a research prototype may tolerate months of preprocessing to clean and align historical datasets, an operational system serving public health decision-making must accommodate dynamic registration of new sensors, such as mobile radiation detectors mounted on public transit vehicles or citizen science initiatives. Dynamic data assimilation architectures inspired by numerical weather prediction can be adapted to ingest opportunistic observations, updating model states in near real time through ensemble Kalman methods or particle filters that interface with the neural network backbone. However, the trustworthiness of such heterogeneous data streams varies widely, necessitating reputation scoring and anomaly detection subsystems that weigh observations by estimated reliability. In this sense, data integration becomes a continuous socio-technical negotiation rather than a one-time engineering task, with profound implications for system maintainability and the legitimacy of risk assessments among affected communities.

5. Modeling Approaches for Spatiotemporal Exposure and Health Risk

Translating fused environmental data into actionable respiratory health risk estimates requires modeling strategies that jointly capture the physics of radiation transport, the dynamics of population activity patterns, and the pathophysiology of dose-response relationships. While end-to-end deep learning approaches offer the allure of bypassing intermediate mechanistic steps, their opacity in high-stakes health contexts demands complementary interpretability mechanisms. A hybrid modeling philosophy combines physically informed neural networks that enforce known radionuclide decay chains and atmospheric dispersion laws with data-driven components that learn residual deviations attributable to unmapped sources or unmodeled meteorological interactions. This physical grounding not only improves extrapolation to unobserved scenarios but also serves as a safeguard against spurious correlations that could lead to dangerously miscalibrated risk estimates during extreme events. For respiratory health outcomes, the incorporation of time-distributed exposure lags ranging from hours to days is critical, as epidemiological evidence indicates that acute respiratory effects can manifest with varying delay depending on particle size, radionuclide solubility, and individual susceptibility [12]. Attention-based temporal encoders can learn these distributed lag structures directly from data, weighting past exposure windows differentially for subpopulations defined by age, comorbidity, or socioeconomic status.

Graph neural networks offer a particularly compelling inductive bias for modeling radiation exposure across urban environments, where the built infrastructure creates complex shielding and channeling effects. By representing city blocks, monitoring stations, and demographic tracts as nodes in a graph, with edges encoding distance, ventilation corridors, and commuting flows, a graph neural network can learn to propagate radiation signatures through the urban fabric in a manner that respects topological constraints. Temporal attention mechanisms layered atop graph convolutions further enable the model to discount stale observations and focus on recent measurements when generating nowcasts. The capacity to embed vulnerability indicators directly into node features, such as percentage of elderly residents, prevalence of asthma, and access to air filtration, allows the model to produce risk predictions that are inherently stratified and equity-aware [13]. Critically, the graph structure can be updated as new demographic or land-use data become available, maintaining relevance in rapidly changing cities without requiring retraining of the entire model backbone from scratch.

A key clinical study documented that short-term increases in particle gamma radiation activities were associated with acute decrements in pulmonary function among COPD patients [14], underscoring the respiratory health burden of environmental ionizing radiation. The incorporation of such evidence into AI models demands that the system distinguish between the effects of particle-bound radiation and those of gaseous radionuclides, a nuance that simple aggregate dose metrics obscure. Multitask learning architectures can be designed to simultaneously predict multiple exposure species and multiple health endpoints, sharing representations in lower layers while specializing in output heads. This inductive transfer improves generalization when labeled health data are scarce, as is common for rarer respiratory conditions. However, it also raises challenges in weighting the various loss components to avoid a scenario where abundant but less clinically urgent endpoints dominate the training signal, thereby degrading performance on outcomes with high severity but low prevalence. Careful curriculum learning strategies and Pareto optimization over task-specific losses are necessary to navigate these tensions, and their configuration should be subject to stakeholder review to align with public health priorities.

Interpretability of spatiotemporal health risk models is essential for clinician trust, regulatory acceptance, and community accountability. Post-hoc explanation methods such as SHAP and integrated gradients can be adapted to the spatiotemporal domain to highlight which sensors, time lags, and geographic features most strongly influence a given risk prediction. However, such local explanations can be unstable under small perturbations of the input, undermining their reliability in contested decision-making contexts. An alternative involves structurally interpretable architectures, such as generalized additive models with neural basis functions or concept bottleneck models, which enforce that predictions are mediated through human-understandable concepts like “peak one-hour dose in the past three days” or “cumulative ventilation-adjusted exposure over the preceding week.” Designing concept sets that bridge radiation physics, toxicology, and community concerns demands participatory workshops involving epidemiologists, radiation protection specialists, and patient advocacy representatives. The resulting systems would not only be technically sound but also embedded within a governance process that confers epistemic legitimacy.

6. Deployment, Infrastructure, and Sustainability

Transitioning from a validated research prototype to a sustained operational system for public health protection exposes a suite of deployment challenges that encompass computational infrastructure, personnel training, and long-term funding models. The computational demands of continuous spatiotemporal inference over entire metropolitan or regional domains can easily exceed the capacity of modest on-premise servers, especially when ensemble predictions are required for uncertainty quantification. Cloud computing platforms offer elastic scalability, but recurring costs can become prohibitive if not carefully managed through resource-efficient model designs, such as quantized neural networks and dynamic batching strategies that flatten peak loads. Furthermore, reliance on commercial cloud services raises concerns about vendor lock-in, data sovereignty, and service continuity during emergencies when communication infrastructure may be compromised. A hybrid architecture that maintains a minimal local footprint capable of producing degraded-mode forecasts using compressed models and cached meteorological data can preserve essential warning functions even when cloud connectivity is disrupted. Designing this graceful degradation pathway requires explicit modeling of failure modes and deliberate investment in failover protocols rather than treating robustness as an afterthought.

Sustainability also hinges on the human and institutional ecosystems that surround the technical system. The deployment of AI-driven environmental health tools demands interdisciplinary teams that combine expertise in radiation science, machine learning operations, software engineering, public health, and community engagement. The global shortage of such cross-trained professionals is a binding constraint, and universities, government agencies, and international organizations must collaborate to create training programs that span these domains. Moreover, the system must be designed for maintainability by local authorities who may lack advanced programming skills, implying the need for intuitive configuration interfaces, automated model retraining pipelines triggered by performance drift detection, and comprehensive documentation in multiple languages. Open-source licensing of core components can foster a collaborative community that distributes maintenance burdens and accelerates innovation, as demonstrated by successful environmental monitoring platforms in the air quality domain. However, open-source models require governance structures that balance community contributions with quality control, ensuring that modifications do not inadvertently introduce biases or safety-critical bugs into risk prediction pipelines.

Infrastructure decisions also intersect with regulatory compliance, particularly with regard to medical device classification and algorithmic accountability. When an AI system provides individual-level respiratory risk estimates that influence clinical decisions—such as recommending temporary relocation or medication adjustment—it may fall under medical device regulations that mandate rigorous pre-market validation, post-market surveillance, and adverse event reporting. Navigating these regulatory frameworks requires close collaboration with health authorities from the earliest design stages, incorporating documentation of training data provenance, model performance across population subgroups, and failure mode analyses. The administrative burden can be especially challenging for low-resource settings, where regulatory capacity is limited and international harmonization is immature. In this context, modular system design that separates environmental exposure inference—which may not be regulated as a medical device—from health risk translation that interfaces with clinical workflows can provide a pragmatic pathway for incremental deployment while mitigating regulatory bottlenecks.

Sustained data collection infrastructure is another pillar of deployment success. Radiation monitoring networks in many regions suffer from chronic underfunding, leading to sensor deterioration, calibration lapses, and data gaps that erode model accuracy. Integrating citizen science initiatives, low-cost solid-state detectors, and opportunistic mobile sensing can augment official networks, but these community-generated data streams raise questions about quality assurance and equitable representation. Participatory data governance models that involve community organizations in data collection, validation, and interpretation not only improve data coverage but also build trust and ownership, increasing the likelihood that risk information will be acted upon. Long-term sustainability therefore rests on a virtuous cycle in which high-quality risk assessments empower communities to advocate for improved monitoring, which in turn feeds back into more accurate models, supported by funding streams that recognize the value of primary prevention over reactive healthcare expenditures.

7. Robustness, Fairness, and Policy Implications

The societal embedding of AI-driven radiation and respiratory risk systems amplifies the moral imperative to ensure robustness against distributional shifts and adversarial conditions, and to proactively identify and mitigate fairness harms. Environmental data streams are subject to nonstationarities arising from climate change, urban development, and evolving industrial practices, all of which can cause the joint distribution of meteorological predictors, radiation levels, and health outcomes to drift from the training regime. A model that performs excellently under historical conditions may systematically underestimate risk during unprecedented heatwaves that exacerbate radon exhalation from soils or during wildfire events that resuspend deposited radionuclides. Continuous monitoring of prediction residuals, out-of-distribution detection using deep ensemble uncertainty estimates, and automated retraining pipelines triggered when performance metrics degrade beyond predefined thresholds are essential to maintain reliability. However, the choice of retriggering thresholds itself carries ethical weight: overly conservative thresholds may generate excessive false alarms that desensitize communities and waste scarce public health resources, while lax thresholds risk missing genuine emergent threats. An institutionalized process for reviewing these thresholds, involving public health officers and community representatives, can serve as a site of democratic accountability over algorithmic governance.

Fairness in spatial modeling of environmental health risks encompasses multiple intertwined dimensions, including distributive fairness in the accuracy of predictions across demographic groups and procedural fairness in how model design choices are made. Empirical evaluations of air quality models have shown that prediction errors can be systematically larger in neighborhoods with higher proportions of residents of color and lower income, owing to sparser sensor coverage and greater microenvironmental variability from housing stock differences [15]. These accuracy disparities mean that risk alerts may be less timely or less reliable precisely for the populations that already bear the highest exposure burdens. Mitigating such disparities requires going beyond simple equal-accuracy constraints to consider the asymmetric consequences of false negatives versus false positives for vulnerable groups. For a child with severe asthma, a missed high-radiation alert during a weather inversion could trigger a life-threatening exacerbation, whereas an unnecessary warning might cause temporary anxiety and school absence. Eliciting these value trade-offs through structured community consultations and encoding them into the model’s loss function via group-specific cost-sensitive learning can align system behavior with the articulated preferences of affected populations.

From a policy standpoint, the introduction of AI-driven exposure surveillance creates opportunities for proactive regulation and targeted compensation mechanisms that were previously infeasible. Near-real-time radiation risk maps can inform zoning decisions, building code updates that require radon-resistant construction in identified hotspots, and the allocation of air purifiers or temporary shelter capacity ahead of forecasted high-exposure episodes. Governments can use model outputs as evidence in environmental impact assessments and in adjudicating claims of environmental discrimination under civil rights laws. However, the evidentiary weight of AI-derived risk estimates in legal and regulatory proceedings depends on the transparency, auditability, and scientific validation of the entire pipeline. Establishing independent auditing bodies with the authority to inspect training data, re-run models on benchmark scenarios, and investigate complaints of algorithmic harm is an institutional prerequisite for public trust. Such bodies must be equipped with the technical expertise to interrogate machine learning models and the legal mandate to enforce corrective actions, constituting a new frontier of regulatory capacity at the intersection of environmental protection and digital governance.

International policy coordination is equally crucial because environmental radiation does not respect national borders, and the health burdens of transboundary radionuclide transport are often borne by downwind communities that have little influence over source-control decisions in neighboring countries. AI-driven systems that fuse satellite observations with dispersion modeling can provide an independent, transparent source of attribution information that empowers affected nations in diplomatic negotiations and strengthens the enforcement mechanisms of international environmental agreements. The development of shared model repositories, common evaluation benchmarks, and capacity-building programs coordinated by organizations such as the World Health Organization and the International Atomic Energy Agency can help level the playing field, ensuring that low-income countries are not relegated to passive recipients of black-box risk scores generated by foreign entities. Policy frameworks must also contend with the dual-use nature of high-resolution radiation mapping technology, which could be misused for malicious purposes, necessitating access control vetting and international norms around responsible dissemination of environmental radiation intelligence.

The embedding of fairness, robustness, and policy alignment into the system life cycle calls for a design methodology that treats these attributes as first-class engineering requirements rather than after-the-fact ethical patches. From the initial scoping of the problem with community stakeholders, through iterative model development with participatory evaluation of subgroup performance, to ongoing monitoring by independent auditors, each stage of the machine learning operations pipeline must be instrumented with fairness and robustness metrics [16]. Documentation standards modeled on datasheets for datasets and model cards should be extended to spatiotemporal environmental health applications, disclosing the demographic and geographic composition of training data, performance disaggregated by vulnerability indicators, and known limitations under extreme or compound hazard scenarios [17]. Such documentation serves not only as an accountability mechanism but also as a resource for downstream implementers who need to assess transferability to their local contexts.

8. Conclusion

The convergence of advancing spatiotemporal artificial intelligence capabilities with the persistent global burden of environmental radiation-related respiratory disease presents an inflection point for public health systems engineering. This paper has argued that realizing the potential of AI to protect vulnerable populations requires transcending narrow technical optimization to engage deeply with the architectural, data governance, infrastructural, and socio-political dimensions that collectively shape system performance and legitimacy. We examined how hybrid cloud-edge deployments, federated learning architectures, and multiscale data fusion techniques can address the fragmentation of environmental and health data while preserving privacy and enabling local autonomy. The choice of modeling paradigms, ranging from graph neural networks to physically informed deep learning, must be guided not only by predictive accuracy but by the capacity to produce subgroup-specific risk estimates that are interpretable, auditable, and actionable within existing regulatory and clinical workflows. Equally critical are the institutional arrangements for sustaining monitoring infrastructure, training interdisciplinary workforces, and governing open-source collaborations over decade-long time horizons that extend well beyond typical research funding cycles.

Robustness against environmental nonstationarity and systematic fairness in prediction across lines of social vulnerability emerged as non-negotiable design constraints, requiring engineering methodologies that embed distribution shift detection, cost-sensitive subgroup optimization, and participatory community accountability into the machine learning lifecycle. The policy implications extend from local zoning and public health alerting to international environmental justice frameworks, underscoring the need for new regulatory competencies that can evaluate and credential AI-driven exposure assessments. While the technical pathway for building such systems is increasingly clear, the governance and equity challenges remain profound and will demand sustained interdisciplinary collaboration among computer scientists, epidemiologists, radiation protection specialists, social scientists, legal scholars, and the communities whose health is at stake. Future research should prioritize the co-development of open benchmarks for spatiotemporal environmental radiation modeling, longitudinal studies that evaluate the real-world impact of AI-driven interventions on health outcomes in vulnerable populations, and the design of institutional mechanisms that ensure algorithmic accountability without stifling the innovation necessary to confront a rapidly changing environmental risk landscape.

References

1. Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu, N. N., ... & Zhong, M. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. The Lancet, 391(10119), 462-512.

2. Shi, X., Chen, Z., Wang, H., Yeung, D. Y., Wong, W. K., & Woo, W. C. (2015). Convolutional LSTM network: A machine learning approach for precipitation nowcasting. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 802-810).

3. Wu, Z., Pan, S., Chen, F., Long, G., Zhang, C., & Yu, P. S. (2020). A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 32(1), 4-24.

4. Zhao, L., Song, Y., Zhang, C., Liu, Y., Wang, P., Lin, T., ... & Li, X. (2019). T-GCN: A temporal graph convolutional network for traffic prediction. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 21(9), 3848-3858.

5. Xu, M., Dai, W., Liu, C., Gao, X., Lin, W., Qi, G. J., & Xiong, H. (2020). Spatial-temporal transformer networks for traffic flow forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.02908.

6. Qi, Y., Li, Q., Karimian, H., & Liu, D. (2018). A hybrid model for spatiotemporal forecasting of PM2.5 based on graph convolutional neural network and long short-term memory. Science of the Total Environment, 664, 1-10.

7. Cutter, S. L., Boruff, B. J., & Shirley, W. L. (2003). Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. Social Science Quarterly, 84(2), 242-261.

8. Nyhan, M., Coull, B. A., Blomberg, A. J., Vieira, C. L., Garshick, E., Aba, A., ... & Koutrakis, P. (2019). Long-term exposure to particle radioactivity and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study. Environmental Health Perspectives, 127(9), 097003.

9. Jerrett, M., Arain, A., Kanaroglou, P., Beckerman, B., Potoglou, D., Sahsuvaroglu, T., ... & Giovis, C. (2005). A review and evaluation of intraurban air pollution exposure models. Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology, 15(2), 185-204.

10. Rieke, N., Hancox, J., Li, W., Milletari, F., Roth, H. R., Albarqouni, S., ... & Cardoso, M. J. (2020). The future of digital health with federated learning. NPJ Digital Medicine, 3(1), 1-7.

11. Hajat, A., Hsia, C., & O'Neill, M. S. (2015). Socioeconomic disparities and air pollution exposure: A global review. Current Environmental Health Reports, 2(4), 440-450.

12. Dominici, F., Peng, R. D., Bell, M. L., Pham, L., McDermott, A., Zeger, S. L., & Samet, J. M. (2006). Fine particulate air pollution and hospital admission for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. JAMA, 295(10), 1127-1134.

13. Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. ACM Computing Surveys, 54(6), 1-35.

14. Vieira, C. L., Koutrakis, P., Huang, S., Grady, S., Hart, J. E., Coull, B. A., ... & Garshick, E. (2019). Short-term effects of particle gamma radiation activities on pulmonary function in COPD patients. Environmental research, 175, 221-227.

15. Gao, Y., Shi, J., Liu, J., & Zhang, Z. (2022). ST-Tran: A spatiotemporal transformer for predicting air quality. In Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (pp. 1-4).

16. Bind, M. A. (2019). Causal modeling in environmental epidemiology. Current Environmental Health Reports, 6(4), 236-246.

17. Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). "Why should I trust you?" Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining (pp. 1135-1144).

18. Shi, W., Cao, J., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 3(5), 637-646.

19. Morley, J., Machado, C. C., Burr, C., Cowls, J., Joshi, I., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020). The ethics of AI in health care: A mapping review. Social Science & Medicine, 260, 113172.

20. Knoppers, B. M., & Thorogood, A. M. (2017). Ethics and big data in health. Current Opinion in Systems Biology, 4, 53-57.